

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,019	07/12/2001	Christophe Laudamiel-Pellet	8354M	1781
27752	7590 07/11/2005		EXAM	INER .
THE PROCTER & GAMBLE COMPANY			JASTRZAB, KRISANNE MARIE	
	JAL PROPERTY DIVI LL TECHNICAL CENT		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
6110 CENTER	R HILL AVENUE		1744	
CINCINNATI	, ОН 45224		DATE MAILED: 07/11/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	N	4 44 4	il
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/904,019	LAUDAMIEL-PELLET	ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	 -
	Krisanne Jastrzab	1744	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the meanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a series of the statutory minimum of thir ricd will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this commodate of the commodate	unication.
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	4 April 2005.		
_	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allo		ters, prosecution as to the me	erits is
closed in accordance with the practice und			
Disposition of Claims			
	Ab a see all a all a		
4) Claim(s) <u>24-36,43 and 44</u> is/are pending in	• •	•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	drawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	1		
6) Claim(s) <u>24-31,33,43 and 44</u> is/are rejected	1.		
7) Claim(s) <u>32 and 34-36</u> is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	id/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) =	accepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the		· ·	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
 Certified copies of the priority docum 	ents have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		application No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p			ge
application from the International Bur	eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies not	received.	
.ttachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🗍 Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s	s)/Mail Date	
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/	1	nformal Patent Application (PTO-152	

Mt

Application/Control Number: 09/904,019

Art Unit: 1744

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 24-31, 33 and 43-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Arnell et al., WO 00/12143.

Arnell et al., teach an odor dispensing device having a holder formed of two, shell-like pieces hingedly connected, and cartridge. The cartridge is reusable and recycleable, is loaded with a plurality of scents, and is moveable with respect to the housing. The device is supplied with locking means to ensure that proper placement of the cartridge is maintained during use, while preventing accidental access thereto. Means are provided to keep the odor releasing substance sealed when not in use, and means are provided to remove that seal when the odor is to be released. These means include spring biasing means as well as a barrier means. The cartridges can be interchanged such that the user has the scent selection he/she desires and the unit can be operated as a stand alone unit. Replacement of the cartridge is accomplished by opening the housing to access lower half of the shell containing the cartridge. The

Art Unit: 1744

housing contains an aperture in the top half for release of the fragrance. See page 2, lines 25-27, page 3, lines 1-10, page 4, lines27-28, column 5, lines1-3, page 9, lines 5-30, page 10, line 1 through page 13, line 3, page 16, lines 10-29 and page 18, lines 15-20, as well as the drawings.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 4/24/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant argues that Arnell fails to teach a cartridge the moves relative to a shell containing it, however, the Examiner would disagree and point to the top of page 5, which clearly teaches movement of the cartridge within the housing. Applicant further argues that Arnell fails to teach a shell having two parts hinged together, however, the Examiner would disagree and point to Fig. 1A. Applicant also argues that Arnell fails to teach an opening in the lower half of the shell for receiving the scent disk, however, the Examiner would disagree and point to Fig. 1A again where insertion of the disk into a formed aperture in the lower half of the housing is depicted. Finally, Applicant argues that Arnell fails to teach opening the shell to replace a cartridge, however, the Examiner would disagree and would point to page 11, lines 19-30 and and Figs. 1B and 1A.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 32 and 34-36 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Art Unit: 1744

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the closest prior art of record fails to teach or suggest the specific measurement limitations presented in claims 32 and 34, nor the axial placement and shape of the apertures of claims 35 and 36.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Krisanne Jastrzab whose telephone number is 571-272-1279. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Wed. 6:30am-4:00pm and alternate Fridays.

Application/Control Number: 09/904,019

Art Unit: 1744

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Kim can be reached on 571-272-1142. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Krisanne Jastrzab Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744

July 7, 2005